



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,856	09/27/2000	Naoaki Komiya	YKI-0050	6714
759	90 08/13/2002			
Michael A Cantor Esq Cantor Colburn LLP 55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
Bioonniera, C1	00002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2674	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ø

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/671,856	KOMIYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the applications.	· a a					
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

This Application has been examined. The original claims 1-2 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Specification

1. In Specification, page 5, line 20, change "3." to -- 2. --. Correction is required.

Drawings

2. Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) as being anticipated by Prior Art figure 3 admitted by Applicant.

Regarding claim 1, Prior Art figure 3 discloses that an active matrix type electroluminescence display device comprising a plurality of display pixels (GS1, GS2,

Art Unit: 2674

GS3) arranged in a matrix of rows an columns, each of the display pixels including an electrolumninescence element (11) to which one of end of a capacitance for maintaining a voltage (VH) corresponding to a display signal is connected; and a capacitance line(17) extending each row and connected to and shared by the other end of the capacitance (13) of the display pixels; wherein the constant (VSC) is supplied from end of the capacitance line. However, Prior Art figure 3 does not disclose a plurality of capacitance lines extending in each row. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to install a plurality of capacitance lines extending in each row in the Prior Art because if prior art does show more pixels therefore they will more capacitances lines.

Regarding claim 2, Prior Art figure 3 discloses that an active matrix type electroluminescence display device comprising a plurality pixels, each including an electroluminescence element arranged in a matrix of rows and column, a first thin film transistor (12) in which a display signal is applied to the drain and which is switched on and off in response to select signal, a capacitance (13) having one end connected to the source (VSC) of the first thin film transistor (12) and for maintaining a voltage corresponding to the display signal, and a second thin film transistor (14) for driving the electroluminesce element based on the display signal; and a capacitance lines (17) extending each row and connected to and shared by the other end of the capacitance (13) of the display pixels. However, Prior Art figure 3 does not disclose a plurality of first capacitance lines, each extending for a row and connected to and shared by the other end of a capacitance in the display pixels; and a plurality of second capacitance lines

Art Unit: 2674

connected to and shared by both ends of the plurality of first capacitance lines. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to install disclose a plurality of first capacitance lines, each extending for a row and connected to and shared by the other end of a capacitance in the display pixels; and a plurality of second capacitance lines connected to and shared by both ends of the plurality of first capacitance lines to the figure 3 in Prior Art because if prior art does show more pixels therefore they will have a plurality of first capacitance lines and a plurality of second capacitance lines.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessfully, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2674

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen August 9, 2002

RICHARD HJERPE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800